G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000 20 12 15

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D6-07.
( Muzrai )

## File No. 294

## Serial Nos. 1—7

## Papers Filed.

*Month* ~~March~~ April. 1908

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the petition of Usman Sabi of Siddaghatta, complaining of the alienation of shops endowed to the Masjid at the place and praying for the restoration of the shops to the institution

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
| --- | --- |
| | Office 8-16 (18, 19, 22 and 27) |

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 326

SUBJECT.

In re the alienation of shops attached to the masjid at Siddhalghatta.

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements, Mysore

No. 111 dated 20th March 1908

(Slip A) Usman Sahb of Siddalghatta petitions this office complaining of the alienation of the shops endowed to the masjid at the place and praying for their restoration to the institution.

(Slip B) The petition was forwarded for report to the Dy Commissioner, Kolar District, and that officer reports that the masjid in question has not been endowed with land inams or cash and therefore it is not a muzrai institution, that it does not

expedient for Government to interfere for the restoration of the shops to the Masjid, that the parties might settle their claims in a Civil Court and that accordingly an endorsement might be issued to the petitioners.

In connection with the repairs to the institution, Mr C Madiah, the then Dy. Commr of the Kolar District (Slip C having reported on the 23d Sept. 1896 that the Masjid has Inam lands measuring 3 acres, 8 guntas with an assessment of Rs 7/, Rs 1000/- were sanctioned from State grant in Govt order of the 15th July 1897. in addition to the sum of Rs 3500/- being the contribution collected by the devotees for the repairs to the institution.

Reference was invited to this communication and the Dy. Commr was asked to account for the conflicting report that the Masjid is not a Mug. institution.

The Dy. Commr now reports (Slip D

Muz. 8 A]

| Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190 |
|---|---|

that the inam lands in question were granted for khazi service, and the masjid is the same stated in Mr. C. Madia's communication.

From the extract of the Inam register, it is observed that the lands were granted for khazi service.

(Slip E)

Submitted.

This is a peculiar case. The local officers including the Dy Commt. Mr. C. Srikanteswara Iyer, say that the Masjid at Sidlaghatta is not a Muzrai institution - not being endowed with either cash or land inam, and therefore the Dy Commt. says that it is inexpedient for Govt. to interfere in the matter of the restoration of the shops in question

to the Masjid

At the time when the Masjid was in a dilapidated condition, Rao Bahadur Mr. C. Madhava when he was Dy Commr of Kolar reported to Govt on the 23d September 1896 that the Masjid in question had inam lands measuring 3 A – 8 G with an assessment of Rs 7 Thereupon, the Govt in Pro: No. 84-5 of 15th July 1897 sanctioned 100 rupees from the State grant towards its construction because the Masjid was considered to be a Muzrai institution.

Mr Sricantheswara Iyer says that the inam lands above are granted for Khazi and not to the Masjid itself

| Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190 |
|---|---|

From the extract of the Inam-Regt., it is observed that the lands in question are "Devadaya "Service inam granted for the "Support of the person who "performs Khazi Service."

The service to be performed by the Khazi is in the Masjid where he is expected to read the Khosan, conduct Fateha & therein. It therefore appears that the service of the Khazi and the Masjid are identical. Evidently, Rao Bahadur Mr. C. Madiah reported to Govt. that the Masjid was a Muzrai instn. under the impression perhaps that the Khazi was not a separate entity from the Masjid.

As regards the shops in question, it appears that the

site was purchased for Rs 10 by the father of the present Khazi and the shops were constructed by him at his own cost.

But, ~~[illegible]~~ in the copy of the Endorsement granted to the Mohomedans of Sidlaghatta by the late Mr. Mohomed Ali (test superior) on the 17th June 1870, ~~[illegible]~~ he has stated that it was the intention of the Khazi to utilize the income from the shops for the benefit of the Masjid and that if the shops were sold at any time, steps would be taken in the matter

Submitted for orders
[illegible]

Neither the site nor the shops in question were granted to the Masjid by Govt or by the former

Muz. 8 A]

| Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190 |
|---|---|

Rulers of the country, and the Alienation Rules cannot well be made applicable to them. I think the Govt cannot interfere in the case.

Muzrai Commissioner
31/3/08

394
31-3-08
Subd to the 2nd (?)

I concur

[illegible]
1/4/08

Draft [illegible] 1/4/08

Issue the drafts put up [illegible]
3/4/08

| File No. | of 190 | Muzvai Secretariat |
|---|---|---|

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

[illegible] person.
[illegible] cons.
[illegible] 17/1/07

3431

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 13వ [illegible]

[illegible] 13 [illegible]

[illegible] 1904 [illegible]

[illegible] 13-12-1904 [illegible]

12-1-1905 [illegible]

[illegible] 8-11-1904 [illegible]

[illegible] 1905 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Mis 1 A]

190

From

To

The Dy. Commr.
Kolar Distt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of enquiry and early report petition registered no. 3431 dated 17th Jany. 1907 presented by Usman Sab of Siddalghatta complaining of the alienation of the shops endowed to the masjid at the place and praying for their restoration

GPB—3 000—21-7 06

institution.

By order,

Dt) [signature] 4/2/07

Secretary

[illegible] 3805 [signature] 4/2/07

[illegible]

By order

Dt) [signature]

Secretary

[signature] 4/2/07

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT
378

1907 Sl 3

From
C. Srikanteswara Iyer Esquire
B.A.B.L
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
L. Anantaswami Ran Esquire
B.A.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Muzerai Department.

Dated

Despatched 23. 4 1907.

Received 23

General No. 708
No. 37

Enclosure No. one & one file

Reporting on the petition of Rasman Sab of Sidlaghatta re the alienation of shops endowed to the Masjid etc

Gd
[illegible]
[illegible]

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated Kolar the 23rd July 1907.

From C. Srikanteswara Iyer Esquire B A B L
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To L. Anantaswami Rau Esquire B A
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Mukerai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 3804 dated 4th February 1907 forwarding for report the petition of Usman Sab of Sidlaghatta complaining of the alienation of the shops endowed to the Masjid at the place, I have the honor to submit that the Sub Division Officer, Chickballapur, reports in his yadi no 833 dated 4/5 January 1906, that the Masjid in question has not been endowed with any Inam lands or any cash tasdik and that therefore it is not a Mukerai Institution, that it does not seem expedient for Government to interfere for the restoration of the shops to Masjid, that the parties might settle their claims in a Civil Court and that accordingly an endorsement might be issued to the petitioners.

2. From the Vernacular

records, it can be seen that the site adjacent to the Masjid was granted in sale to Khaji Osman sheriff, by Mr B. Krishna Iyengar, the then Deputy Commissioner on the 24th December 1868 and the purchaser subsequently built 4 shops on a portion of the site. The purchaser sold these four shops in question for Rs 100/-; 160/-; 190/-; and 100/- on 9.11.44; 24.3.86; 22.4.91; and 22.4.91 respectively. There was no dispute about the sale of the shops so long as the original purchaser of the site from Government was living. Hence the present complaint of the petitioner is untenable.

3. Vernacular Correspondence ending with Chickballapur Sub Division officer's Yadi No. 833 dated 4/5 January 1906 together with the petition received with the above are herewith submitted for kind perusal.

I have the honor to be

sir

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

For Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

Draft for approval

30/1

Muz 1 A]

190 Sl 4

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 708/37, dated 23rd July 1907, reporting with reference to the petition of Usman Sab of Sidlaghatta, that the Masjid at the place is not a Muzrai Institution.

With reference to the above, has the honor to invite attention to the Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 1307/337, dated 23rd September, 1896, in

GPB—3,000—21-7 03

which it is stated that the Masjid at Sidlaghatta is a Muzrai Institution, ~~the Deputy~~ endowed with main lands measuring 3 acres 8 guntas and assessed at Rs 7/-. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report if that Masjid is different from the one now under advertence.

2. ~~The~~ enclosures are returned herewith for reference and re-submission.

By Order

Dfl)

30/7/09

Secretary

30/12/07

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

**190**

*From*

*The Secretary to the Government*
*of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.*

*To*

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

*Dated*

*Despatched* Decr. 1907

*Received* [illegible]

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*
*M. No.*

This Office No. 644 dated 31st July 1907 calling for further report on the petn. of Usman Sab re alienation of shops ~~in~~ belonging ~~flowed~~ to the Masjid at Sidla-
~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~
Shatta

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request ~~to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ an early reply thereto

By Order,

Dft) [illegible] 2/1/08

*Secretary,*
*Muzrai Department.*

[illegible]

1908

Sl 5

From

C. Srikanteswara Aiyar Esquire B.A.B.L.
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

L. Anantasami Ran Esquire B.A.,
Secretary to Government
Mizerai Department
Bangalore

Dated 14. 2. 1908.

Despatched

Received

General No. 5138. En. one file.
No. 216

Enclosure No.

3314
17/2/08

Ap
Refer please
17/2/08

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District
Dated Kolar the 14th February 1908

From
C. Srikantesvara Aiyar Esquire B.A.B.L.
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
L. Anantasami Rau Esquire B.A.
Secretary to Government
Muzerai Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 644 dated 31.7.1907, calling for further report on the petition of Osman Sab re alienation of shops belonging to the Masjid at Sidlaghatta, I have the honor to state that the amildar of Sidlaghatta reports that there is only one Masjid at Sidlaghatta which is neither endowed with any cash grant nor with Inam lands. The Inam lands measuring 3 acres & 8 guntas assessed at Rs 7/- are situated in the village of Taladommanahalli granted for Khaji service and the masjid referred to in the above is the same stated in this office letter No 1307/337 dated 23.9.1896.

2. Vernacular correspondence ending with Chikballapur Sub Division office's Yadi no: 179 dated 31.12.1907 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar

For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Muz. 1 ]

190

From Sl 6

To Superintt of Inam Setts
The Dy. Commr
Kolar Distt

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that an extract of the detailed Inam Register pertaining to the grant of the marginally-noted Inam lands for Khazi Service at Siddlaghatta may kindly be furnished at an early date

By order
D(H) 11/3/08
Secretary.

G assessment
8, ~~Ao~~ 4/ in the village of Saladommanahalli,

GPb—3,000—19-9-07

3/3/08

Inam Superintendent's Office,
Bangalore, dated 20/21 March 1908.

No 111/1

Sl 7

3840
21.3.08
MUZRAI SECRETARI

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No 3878 dated 12.3.1908, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands for Khazy Service in the village of Thaldoomanahully, Sidla-ghatta Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D Narsiah Rao
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet No 32

Taluk — Sidlaghatta

Hobli — Sidlaghatta

Village - Thaldoomanhully

Copy of the Register of minor Inams in the village of Thaldoomanhully in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

| Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village | General class to which the Inam belongs | Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden | Extent | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column | Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | Title - deed no 504 | | | |
| 3 | | Inam account 1800 | | |
| | Devaraya | Dry no. 25 | 0-5-0 | 3.31 |

Sammat of Sidlaghatta in the Taluk of Sidlaghatta

| | | DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Old assessment | Survey assessment | Description of Inam | |
| Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7 | | If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up<br><br>Hereditary or for life | Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, &c., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 8-11-8 | | For the Support of the person who performs Khazi Service | |

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

| By whom granted and in what year | Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long pessession<br>In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given. |
|---|---|
| 10 | 11 |
| | Amildar's Takeed dated Sookla 1809 granting the Inam to Muhamad Imam for Kazy Service, under the instructions of the Huzoor |

of Kolar

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

| Name of original grantee | Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810 | Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810 |
|---|---|---|
| 12 | 13 | 14 |
| | Inam Account-1800<br>Dry 0-5-0<br>Khazy Inam | Jarri account-1834<br>Kazy Shaib<br>Dry Cooboos<br>0-3-8<br><br>Pymaishy 1859<br>Dry 0-5-0<br>Khazy Inam |

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

| Residencl, name and age, &c. | Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders | Surviving heirs of the present incumbent | If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year |
|---|---|---|---|
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Sidlaghatta | | | |
| Dadamiyah 70 | Same as in 1834 | 2 Sons | |

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

| Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation | Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner |
|---|---|
| 19 | 20 |
| To be confirmed free of tax. — (Signed) C. Soob Row. 21-2-71. Asst. | To be confirmed and continued as free of tax. (Initialled) B. Gopaliah 12.11.71 Asst. Confirmed (Signed) Robert Cole 18.4.72. Inam Supt. |
| | "Copy" D. Sheshadri Rao Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore. |
| Inam Superintendent's Office, Bangalore, d/ 20/21 March 1908 | |

Dft as per orders
Muznai

SLP

Read,

Petition registered no. 3431 dated 17th jany from Usman Sahi of Siddlaghatta complaining of the alienation of the shops endowed to the masjid and praying for their restoration to the instn

Correspondence ending with letter no 5138/216 dy 14th February 1908 from the Dy. Commr, Kolar Distt. reporting on the above

Letter no 111 dated 20th march 1908 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in

forwarding copy

of the Inam register

pertaining to the grant

of Inam lands for Khazy

service in the village of

Thaldoomanahally, Siddla-

ghatta Taluk

Under thereon no. Dy. No 4393 in 1 file

Dy 14. 4. 1908

In the petition

read above, Usman Sahi

of Siddlaghatta complains

of the alienation of

the shops endowed to

the masjid at the

place and prays for their

restoration to the instn.

The Dy. Commr. reports

in the correspondence

read above, that the

in question

has not been endowed with land inams or cash grant, and therefore it is not a muzgrar institution, and that it does not seem expedient for Govt. to interfere ~~for the~~ in the matter of the restoration of the shops to the masjid. On a subsequent communication from this office ~~inviting~~ the Dy Commr. ~~attention to the late Dy Commr. Mr. Madiah's letter reporting of 23d Septr. 1896 reporting to Govt. that the masjid~~ has inam lands, ~~from~~ in that Officer's final communication and the

measuring 3 acres 8 guntas with an assessment of ~~Rs~~ 7/-

~~Copy of the Inam register received from the Inam Supdt., the~~ reports that lands measuring 3-8 assessed at Rs 7 ~~in question~~ are granted ~~reported to have been granted~~ for Khazi service in Sidlaghatta.

2) The Govt. observe that neither the site nor the shops in question were granted to the Masjid by Govt. or by the former rulers of the Country and consequently, the alienation rules cannot ~~well~~ be made applicable to them. They therefore decline to interfere in the case.

3/4/08

(Cr's. order)

Secretary
1/4/08.

To, the Dy. Commr., Kolar
Distt. refer the records.

Muz. 21]

ನಂ. 4374 ದಿ 14/4/17 Sl 9

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

ಶ್ರೀ ರಂಗಪ್ಪ ಅಲ್ಲಾದ ಹುಸೇನ ಸೈದ ಸಾಹೆಬ ಬಿನ ಸಲಾಮಸಾಹೆಬ ಇವರು

ಶ್ರೀ ರಂಗಪ್ಪ ಅಲ್ಲಾದ ಹುಸೇನ ಸಾಹೆಬ ಇವರು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೪ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ೧೯೦೭ ರಂದು ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮೇರ್ಕುಂ ೩೪೩೧ ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.~~ ಮತ್ತು ಅದೇ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ನಿಮಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಕಾಗದದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದಂತೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು.

ಮತ್ತೂ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದಂತೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ತಂದೆಯವರು ಅಂದರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾಯುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಉಯಿಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಹೋದರಿಯರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು,

[illegible]

By order

[illegible] 3/4/08

Secretary

[illegible] 3/4/08